

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,945 | 03/02/2004 | Murray Steven Rodgers | 50060-00143 | 2174 |
| 75 | 90 08/02/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| MARSH FISC | HMANN & BREYFO | GLE LLP | DOTY, HEAT | HER ANNE |

MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP Suite 411 3151 South Vaughn Way Aurora, CO 80014

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2813

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| K | |
|----|--|
| ٠. | |
| | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/790,945 | RODGERS, MURRAY STEVEN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Heather A. Doty | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a second to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma | arch 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | • | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | · | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | 7. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | s have been received | · • | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | · · | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | · | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 414+.
- II. Claims 24-29, drawn to process, classified in class 438, subclass 48.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of Group II can be used to make a materially different product, including one operating with magnetic components.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2813

Should Applicant Elect Group I

Group I of this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: a MEM apparatus with an electrostatic component disposed between the substrate and a first structure (claims 1-9 appear to read on this species).

Species 2: a MEM apparatus with a movable component disposed between the substrate and a first structure (claims 10-15 appear to read on this species).

Species 3: a MEM apparatus with an optical component and an actuator mechanism and a cover (claims 16-21 appear to read on this species).

Species 4: a MEM apparatus comprising a micromachined structure (claims 22-23 appear to read on this species).

Should Applicant elect Group I, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2813

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,945

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather A. Doty, whose telephone number is 571-272-8429. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

had

PRIMARY EXAMINER